Case 1:22-cv-03592-RPK-RML Document 1 Filed 06/14/22 Page 1 of 20 PageID #: 1 FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y. JUN 1 4 2022 Stacy Ann Williams-Moore 1 Plaintiff in Propria Persona LONG ISLAND OFFICE 2 17 Dawes Avenue

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CENTRAL ISLIP DIVISION 100 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722

STACY ANN WILLIAMS-MOORE

PLAINTIFF,

DEFENDANT.

Amityville, New York 11701

phone number: 516 462 5959 email: Stacyrmoore@outlook.com

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

QUICK INTERNATIONAL COURIER, LLC

CASENO. 22-3592

KOVNER, J LEVY, M.J.

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Stacy Ann Williams-Moore ("plaintiff"), files this Complaint against defendant, QUICK INTERNATIONAL COURIER, LLC ("defendant") and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

This is a claim by plaintiff Stacy Ann Williams-Moore against her employer for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and the Americans with Disabilities Amendments Act ("ADA-AA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et sequitur for discrimination and retaliation on the basis of disability; for prohibited actions taken on the basis of this disability under the "regarded as" prong and the "record of" prong; and for declaratory and injunctive relief under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act as implemented under 29 CFR Part 1630, et seguitur.

2. Accordingly, plaintiff brings this action pursuant to the ADA and ADA-AA to recover all available relief in law, including but not limited to: (i) a judgment from this Court that defendant's actions were unlawful; (ii) back pay; (iii) compensatory damages in whatever amount she is found to be entitled; (iv) reinstatement, or in the alternative front pay in the event reinstatement is not practical; (v) an equal amount as liquidated damages, other monetary damages; (vi) an award of costs and reasonable court fees; and (vii) punitive damages to the extent available; (viii) pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and (ix) a jury trial on all issues so triable.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This court has original and exclusive jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, in that the matters in controversy are brought pursuant to Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the ADA and ADA-AA of 2008; 42 U.S.C. §12101 and 42 U.S.C. §12112(a), (b) and (d)(4) as it pertains to "Discrimination"; as implemented by 29 CFR Part 1630.14(b)(3), (c) & (d) as it pertains to adverse employment actions, employers and medical examinations and interventions.
- **4.** Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §1391 because defendant does business in this judicial district and the acts complained of took place in this judicial district.
- **5.** Plaintiff timely filed a charge of Discrimination against the defendant with the Equal Opportunity Employment Commission (EEOC) on or about the date of February 8, 2022.
- **6.** On or about March 14, 2022, the EEOC issued plaintiff a Dismissal and Notice of Right to Sue against defendant with regards to this matter. A copy of the Right to Sue letter is attached as Exhibit A-14.
- 7. Plaintiff has exhausted the administrative remedies available to him.
- **8.** Plaintiff files her complaint within 90 days of the EEOC's issuance of the notice of right to sue.

PARTIES

- **9.** Plaintiff, Stacy Ann Williams-Moore, resides in Amityville, New York at the address of 17 Dawes Avenue and is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **10.** Plaintiff was an employee of the defendant, which is a "covered entity" within the meaning of the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **11.** Defendant's principal place of business is located at 175-28 148th Avenue; Jamaica, New York.
- **12.** At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was an "employee" of defendant within the meaning of the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **13.** At all times relevant, defendant was an "employer" as defined by 42 U.S.C. 12111(5).
- **14.** From approximately September 10, 2018, until her termination on January 31, 2022, plaintiff was employed by the defendant.
- **15.** At all times material to this action, plaintiff was perceived as having a disability as defined by 42 U.S.C. §12102 (1) (2) and (3) and was subjected to adverse actions prohibited under this chapter because of perceived physical impairments whether or not these perceived impairments limited or were perceived to limit major life activities.
- **16.** Specifically, plaintiff was perceived as disabled with a contagious disease; was misclassified as having an impaired immune system and an impaired respiratory system by defendant; and was not allowed to work because of defendant's discriminatory perceptions, policies and procedures.
- **17.** At all times material to this action, plaintiff was, and is, a "qualified individual" under the ADA and ADA-AA as a person who met the legitimate skill, experience, education, or other requirements of the employment position that plaintiff held, and who can/could perform the "essential functions" of the position plaintiff held with or without reasonable accommodation.
- **18.** Additionally, defendant is not eligible for any exemption under the ADA and ADA-AA, and, indeed, did not seek or obtain an exemption.

- **19.** At all times material to this action, defendant is/was an employer covered by the ADA and ADA-AA in that it employs more than 15 employees.
- **20.** At all times material to this action, plaintiff was an employee entitled to be free from discrimination on the basis of a perceived disability under the ADA and ADA-AA.

PLAIN STATEMENT

21. Defendant's policies and procedures demonstrate that it discriminated against plaintiff based upon perceived disability. When plaintiff objected, the defendant continued to impose accommodations; including but not limited to: medical examinations, medical interventions including mask-wearing; without first conducting an individualized assessment to determine if she was a direct threat. Defendant used policies and procedures to harass, isolate, segregate, limit, classify, deny equal access and impose non-job-related medical exams and inquiries upon plaintiff. Defendant also retaliated against plaintiff by interfering with her rights, imposing punitive measures including requiring medical examinations, removing her from scheduled work-shifts, and ultimately terminating her employment, for disability reasons which is prohibited under the ADA and ADA-AA.

STATEMENTS OF FACT

- 22. The Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act ("ADA and ADA-AA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et. seq., as amended is a remedial statute aimed at addressing and providing remedy in response to Congress's findings that discrimination against individuals with physical or mental disabilities persist in critical areas like employment, and our nation's goals with respect to individuals with disabilities is to assure equality of opportunity and participation. 42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1)-(8). The ADA and ADA-AA is meant to protect qualified employees, like plaintiff, from discrimination, harassment and retaliation in the workplace on account of a real or perceived mental or physical disability. 42 U.S.C. § 12112.
- **23.** Plaintiff advised defendant that she was being regarded as disabled by the defendant and that the defendant was making a record of this disability by mis-classifying her as substantially limited with impaired immune and respiratory systems affecting her ability to

- perform major life activities in the workplace including working, communicating with others, performing manual tasks, talking, and breathing without the use of mitigation measures.
 - **24.** Plaintiff on many occasions duly noticed defendant of her good faith opposition to discriminatory policies and procedures.
 - **25.** Under the ADA and ADA-AA an employer may not require an individual with disability to accept accommodations which such qualified individual chooses not to accept. 29 CFR 1630.9 (d). This is especially pertinent when accommodations are imposed for a perceived and unproven disability.
 - **26.** Under the ADA and ADA-AA an employer is required to conduct an individual assessment to determine whether an employee poses a 'direct threat' before it can impose any measures upon the employee. 29 CFR §1630.2 (r)
 - **27.** Under the ADA and ADA-AA it is considered discrimination on the basis of disability if the employer limits, segregates, or classifies an employee in a way that adversely affects such employee because of the disability. 42 USC § 12112
 - **28.** Under the ADA and ADA-AA an employer who discharges, disciplines, or discriminates against an employee in the manner described in subsection (a) is considered to have violated 29 CFR §1630.4 (a)
 - **29.** Under the ADA and ADA-AA employers are prohibited from retaliating against individuals who oppose discriminatory activities or who make charges, testify, assist, or participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding or hearing. 42 U.S.C. § 12203 and 29 CFR Parts 1630.12(a) and (b) and Parts 1630.13(b), (c), (d) and Part 1630.14(c) and shall be subject to the enforcement provisions relevant to such violations set forth in sections 42 U.S. Code § 12117, 42 U.S. Code § 12133 and 42 U.S. Code § 12188.
 - **30.** Under the ADA and ADA-AA employers are prohibited from requiring medical examinations or making disability-related inquiries of employees unless such examination or inquiry is shown to be job-related and consistent with business necessity; 42 U.S.C. §12112(d)(4); 29 CFR §1630.13 (b).

31. Under the ADA and ADA-AA, employers are prohibited from sharing non-job-related medical classification without any regard to confidentiality; 29 CFR §1630.14 (c).

32. Plaintiff may proceed under the "regarded as" prong and the "record of" prong and this court has jurisdiction under these prongs of the ADA and ADA-AA.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- **33.** At all times material to this action, defendant failed to comply with its duty under the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **34.** Plaintiff notified defendant that she was a qualified individual with disability because she was being regarded as disabled with a contagious disease by the defendant's policies and procedures.
- **35.** Defendant's policies and procedures are specifically implemented for the purpose of mitigating the disability which it regards plaintiff as having.
- **36.** Defendant misclassified plaintiff as substantially limited and refused to allow plaintiff to perform several major life activities without using mitigation measures.
- **37.** When plaintiff chose not to accept the defendant's offered accommodations per 29 CFR 1630.9 (d), the defendant retaliated against plaintiff.
- **38.** Defendant was required to either provide equal access or claim exemption to the ADA and ADA-AA and it did neither; thus defendant failed to perform its duty under the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **39.** Defendant discriminated and retaliated against plaintiff for making a complaint that she was being regarded as disabled, thus asserting her entitlement to equal access under the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **40.** Plaintiff requested the defendant to provide a copy of the individualized assessment that it conducted to determine that plaintiff was a direct threat; however, defendant ignored

¹ EEOC Technical Manual 2.2 (c) "...the Supreme Court has stated and the Congress has reiterated, "society's myths and fears about disability and disease are as handicapping as are the physical limitations that flow from actual impairments." The legislative history of the ADA indicates that Congress intended this part of the definition to protect people from a range of discriminatory actions based on "myths, fears and stereotypes" about disability, which occur even when a person does not have a substantially limiting impairment."

- **41.** Rather than providing equal access or proving any exemption to complying with the ADA and ADA-AA, defendant embarked on a series of adverse employment actions against plaintiff which were designed to deter plaintiff's good faith opposition to the policies and procedures.
- **42.** Defendant's policies and procedures segregated the plaintiff based on physical condition.
- **43.** Defendant's policies and procedures limited plaintiff's access to the workplace based on perceived disability.
- **44.** Defendant's policies and procedures refused allow plaintiff to perform her employment duties without using mitigation measures.
- **45.** Defendant's policy and procedures limited plaintiff's right to invoke ADA and ADA-AA protections by refusing to recognize that plaintiff could claim a reason under Federal law for refusing to comply with the policy and procedures. Instead, defendant insisted that plaintiff could only claim a "medical" or "religious" exemption, which is interference with plaintiff's rights under the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **46.** Defendant also engaged in adverse employment actions when plaintiff claimed the right of informed consent and the right to refuse to take part in clinical trials and noticed defendant that all the imposed mitigation measures fall under an EUA period.
- **47.** Defendant's violation of the ADA and ADA-AA was not in good faith and was willful, and plaintiff sustained damages as a result of defendant's conduct including past and future earnings, lost opportunities and benefits, liquidated damages, emotional distress, and reasonable attorneys' fees and or costs.
- 48. Plaintiff re-alleges each statement from the affidavit herein.

COUNTI

DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE ADA and ADA-AA FOR PERCEIVED DISABILITY

- **49.** Plaintiff incorporates each of the above statements of fact herein; the allegations contained in the paragraphs 1 through 48 and the plaintiff's supporting affidavit which is also re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- **50.** Title I of the ADA prohibits employment discrimination on the basis of disability in all aspects of employment, in 29 CFR § 1630 *et sequitur;* and particularly §1630.4; § 1630.5.
- **51.** Plaintiff is a qualified individual under the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **52.** Around August of 2020, defendant began regarding plaintiff as having the disability of a contagious disease and made a record of such disability by mis-classifying plaintiff as being substantially limited with an impaired immune system and an impaired respiratory system; and began requiring plaintiff to use mitigation measures to perform several major life activities in the workplace.
- **53.** Defendant failed to conduct an individualized assessment to determine whether plaintiff met the criteria of posing a direct threat. Defendant only referred to statements made on the CDC's website which does not qualify as an individualized assessment.
- **54.** Despite having knowledge of plaintiff claiming protected status under the ADA and ADA-AA, defendant continued to limit, segregate, classify plaintiff due to its perception of plaintiff as a person with a disability within the meaning of the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **55.** Defendant's responses to the requests made by plaintiff to cease the discrimination and harassment were in fact non-responsive, dismissive or harassing; a true and correct copy of each written communication is included with Exhibit A.
- **56.** Despite plaintiff's written notices, defendant continued without cessation to harass the plaintiff based upon disability by sending plaintiff numerous communications coercing plaintiff to accept various accommodations or suffer adverse employment actions. All written communications are attached as Exhibit A.

- Defendant has failed to ensure the plaintiff equal access to the premises where plaintiff was assigned to work; and the plaintiff has been prevented from enjoying equal access to the benefits of employment enjoyed by other employees.
 - **58.** Defendant's "COVID-19 policies and procedures" classified plaintiff in such a way that plaintiff's employment opportunities were adversely affected and limited because defendant would not permit plaintiff to do her job without first submitting to defendant's accommodations ("mitigation measures").²
 - **59.** Defendant required non-job-related medical examinations or made disability-related inquiries³ of plaintiff that were not consistent with business necessity. Defendant has also failed to provide any notice as to the manner in which these inquiries or medical examinations were an essential function of plaintiff's job.
 - **60.** An employer is entitled only to the information necessary to determine whether the employee can perform the essential functions of the job⁴ with or without reasonable accommodations.
 - **61.** Defendant never conspicuously disclosed or gave legally adequate notice that complying with the COVID-19 mitigation measures ("accommodations") are an **essential function** of the job of Import Agent; and these measures have never previously been an essential function of plaintiff's job, and also did not mention plaintiff's right of refusal under EUA guidelines⁵.
 - **62.** Plaintiff claimed her right not to provide any medical information that is not related to the performance of her job duties.
 - **63.** Defendant also limited the accommodation measures⁶, such as examinations; disclosures of medical records that were not job-related; experimental injections; medical interventions; equipment or products; to only those chosen by defendant. Additionally,
 - 2 Prohibited by 29 CFR § 1630.5

- 3 Prohibited by 42 U.S.C. §12112(d)(4); 29 CFR §1630.13 (b)
- 4 29 CFR 1630.2(n)(2) definition "Essential Function": "(i)the reason the position exists is to perform that function."
- 5 Title 21, Chapter 9 V, Part E §360bbb-3a. Emergency use of medical products.
- 6 29 CFR Part 1630.2(j)(5)(i)

defendant failed to prove that there are no other accommodations available which do not require injections, medical devices and medical examinations.

- **64.** Defendant classified plaintiff as "unvaccinated"⁷; widely shared this classification of plaintiff with other employees without any regard to confidentiality⁸; and encouraged employees to harass plaintiff with repetitive emails, intimidating interactions and threats of termination.
- **65.** If plaintiff had previously made at least one request for reasonable modifications, plaintiff has since withdrawn such request.
- **66.** Additionally, the experimental "vaccines" that are being promoted as vaccines do not actually prevent transmission or infection of any contagious disease, specifically regarding the "COVID-19" or "SarsCOV2" purported "diseases".
- 67. The ADA and ADA-AA also protects individuals such as plaintiff for whom submitting to certain accommodation measures would create impairments. The accommodations include, but are not limited to, taking experimental injections under Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) which are being promoted as "vaccines" but which are not legally vaccines; submitting to repetitive, non-job-related medical examinations (nasal tissue testing, temperature checks); being placed under isolation, segregation and quarantine without due process; using medical devices for mitigation measures (masks); disclosing plaintiff's medical records and history for non-job-related matters and participating in clinical trials and epidemiological experiments as a condition of employment.
- **68.** Plaintiff requests that this court take judicial notice of Section 201(h) of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and its Final Guidance titled, "Classification of Products as Drugs and Devices & Additional Product Classification Issues: Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff", published in September of 2017¹⁰, in which the Food & Drug Administration **defines**

⁷ Discrimination based upon physical condition

⁸ Prohibited by 29 CFR § 1630.13.

⁹ Section 201(h) Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act

¹⁰ https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/classification-products-drugsand-devices-and-additional-product-classification-issues

wearing a mask for mitigation purposes as a medical device and the application of a medical device or contrivance.

- **69.** Plaintiff further requests judicial notice of the fact that the Food & Drug administration has never **approved** wearing such face masks, but only "authorized" them without any supporting medical or clinical data establishing any medical necessity or efficacy for wearing such contrivances.
- **70.** Plaintiff requests that the court take judicial notice of the official mortality rates of the State of New York and the United States for the years from 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 in which the standard deviation is zero, the very definition of no verifiable "pandemic".
- **71.** Plaintiff has been damaged by defendant's violation of the ADA and ADA-AA and has suffered damages, which include past and future earnings, lost opportunities and benefits, and emotional distress.
- **72.** The conduct of defendant and its agents and employees proximately, directly, and foreseeably, injured plaintiff, including but not limited to, emotional pain and suffering, humiliation, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses.
- **73.** The conduct of defendant was so willful and wanton and in such reckless disregard of the statutory rights of plaintiff so as to entitle her to an award of punitive damages against defendant, to deter it, and others, from such conduct in the future.
- **74.** As a result of defendant's actions plaintiff has experienced discrimination, harassment, segregation, isolation.
- **75.** Plaintiff is entitled to any and all relief permitted under the ADA and ADA-AA, 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), including equitable relief.
- **76. WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests entry of:
 - a. judgment in her favor and against defendant for violation of the antidiscrimination provisions of the ADA and ADA-AA;

- b. ordering defendant to comply with the requirements of Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12101; and
- c. ordering defendant to take such affirmative steps as may be necessary to prevent the recurrence of any discriminatory conduct and to eliminate, to the extent practicable, the effects of such conduct.
- d. judgment in plaintiff's favor and against defendant for actual and compensatory damages, including lost earnings, front pay, and/or all actual monetary losses suffered as a result of defendant's conduct;
- e. judgment in plaintiff's favor and against defendant for plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees, costs and litigation expenses;
- f. judgment in plaintiff's favor and against defendant for punitive damages; and
- g. an order granting such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances of this case.
- **77.** Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

COUNT II

RETALIATION UNDER THE ADA and ADA-AA

- **78.** The ADA and ADA-AA also prohibits employers from retaliating against individuals who oppose discriminatory activities or who make charges, testify, assist, or participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding or hearing under the ADA, Title 42 U.S.C. § 12203 and 29 CFR Parts 1630.12(a) and (b) and Parts 1630.13(b), (c), (d) and Part 1630.14(c).
- **79.** Plaintiff incorporates the above statements of fact and the allegations contained in the paragraphs 1 through 48 herein and plaintiff's supporting affidavit which is also realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- **80.** In August of 2020, defendant began unceasingly to retaliate against plaintiff despite plaintiff's reasonable good faith belief that she was exercising protected opposition to discrimination and claiming rights protected under the ADA and ADA-AA.

- **82.** Defendant continued to threaten the plaintiff with suspension, dismissal, and termination even after plaintiff opposed the discrimination and was later made aware of a pending EEOC investigation and plaintiff's protected opposition status.
- **83.** Defendant coerced plaintiff to submit to the accommodation measures, medical interventions and examinations and other health control measures, even though defendant was duly advised by plaintiff that she was not subject to any health control measures by any court order, and that the defendant was not empowered by any court order or other legal duty to impose such interventions, examinations or control measures upon plaintiff. ¹¹
- **84.** Defendant threatened plaintiff with the termination of employment then terminated his employment because of a perceived disability and as a result of classifying plaintiff as "unvaccinated".
- **85.** Despite having knowledge of plaintiff claiming protected status under the ADA and ADA-AA, defendant terminated plaintiff's employment due to plaintiff's opposition to discriminatory policies and procedures.
- **86.** Defendant also failed to give notice of plaintiff's right to refuse defendant's accommodations under the ADA and ADA-AA¹², and failed to advise plaintiff of her right to informed consent, thus interfering with the exercise of plaintiff's rights under the ADA and ADA-AA.
- **87.** As a result of defendant's intentional, willful and unlawful acts of retaliating against plaintiff by terminating plaintiff's employment; interfering with plaintiff's right to informed consent; and interfering with plaintiff's right to refuse defendant's accommodations under the ADA and ADA-AA, plaintiff has suffered injury and damages.

¹¹ See New York Public Health Legal Manual

https://www.nycourts.gov/whatsnew/pdf/PublicHealthLegalManual.pdf

^{12 29} CFR Part 1630.9 (d) & (e)

- **88.** The injury suffered by plaintiff is thereby concrete and particularized and it is actual and imminent. The injury alleged in the complaint, including the pleading and exhibits, clearly sets forth a set of facts that actually occurred and are not conjectural or hypothetical. The injury described therein is at least fairly traceable to the challenged action, conduct and policies of defendant.
- **89.** The harm (injury) already suffered by plaintiff includes, but is not limited to, having to choose between waiving rights to: medical privacy, informed consent, refusal to take part in clinical trials, and be free of discrimination and retaliation OR having plaintiff's employment terminated. Once violated, these rights cannot be recovered.
- **90.** Defendant's policies and procedures demonstrate soundly and convincingly that it intends to inflict future harm against plaintiff based upon perceived disability; it fully intends to continue these policies and it fully intends to continue retaliating against plaintiff as alleged herein.
- **91.** As a result of defendant's actions the plaintiff has experienced retaliation, coercion, interference, termination and disruption in plaintiff's career.
- **92.** Defendant's efforts were to terminate plaintiff, rather than to provide equal access, per defendant's duty, and were not objectively or subjectively in good faith, therefore plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages or other monetary damages, including punitive damages to the extent available.
- **93. WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests entry of:
 - a. ordering defendant to comply with the requirements of Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12101; and,
 - b. take such affirmative steps as may be necessary to prevent the recurrence of any retaliation, coercion, interference and intimidation and to eliminate, to the extent practicable, the effects of such conduct.
 - c. reinstatement, or, in the alternative, front pay in the event reinstatement is not practical;

- d. judgment in plaintiff's favor and against defendant for actual and compensatory damages, including lost earnings, front pay, and/or all actual monetary losses suffered as a result of defendant's conduct;
- e. judgment in plaintiff's favor and against defendant for plaintiff's reasonable court fees and litigation expenses;
- f. judgment in plaintiff's favor and against defendant for punitive damages; and
- g. assess a civil penalty against the defendant in an amount authorized by 42 U.S.C. §12101 to vindicate the public interest and make the plaintiff whole; and
- h. an order granting such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances of this case.
- **94.** Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

DATED this ___ day of June 2022.

Stacy Ann Williams-Moore, Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	DEFENDANTS				
Stacy Ann Williams-Moore				QUICK INTERNATIONAL COURIER, LLC				
(b) County of Residence (E.	NOTE: IN LAND							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Pro Se	Address, and Telephone Number,	11.11.1	RT E.D. NA Worneys (If Know		ID INVOLVED.			
		3014 1 1 20	ZZ W					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in p	ONGTSLAND	SET ZENSHIP OF	PRINCI	PAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	J Federal Question (U.S. Government No.		Citizen of This State		DEF 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	ncipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	5
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only		FORFEITURE/PENALTY		nere for: Nature of S BANKRUPTCY		STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	CASE OTHER RELATION 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application Actions	423 423 1	2 Appeal 28 USC 158 3 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL OPERTY RIGHTS O Copyrights O Patent Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application Trademark Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 OCIAL SECURITY HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) B DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SSID Title XVI S RSI (405(g)) DERAL TAX SUITS O Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False 0 376 Qui Ta 3729(i 400 State F 410 Antitat 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consu (15 Ui 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/ 850 Securi Excha 890 Other 891 Agrict 893 Enviro 895 Freedc Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admir Act/Re	Claims Act am (31 USG 1)) teapportion teapport teap	nment ng nced and attions r 1692) nmer nodities/ Actions s fatters mation rocedure
	moved from 3 R	appellate Court	Reopened Ano	nsferred from	t Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Title 1 of the ADA	•	(20 ma ene jurisucuonui					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND S		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		ı complai	
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DC	OCKET NUMBER			
June. 7 ; 2022		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR Pro Se Plaintiff:	NEY OF RECORD	1				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			P					
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	3	MAG. JUI	OGE		

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 04/21)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Date:

United State	S DISTRICT COURT
Factory Di	for the
Eastern Dis	strict of New York
STACY ANN WILLIAMS-MOORE Plaintiff(s) V. QUICK INTERNATIONAL COURIER, LLC Defendant(s)	IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N. JUN 1 4 2022 LONG ISLAND OFFICE Civil Action No.
Dejenaant(s))
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION
c/o Cogency Global Inc. 122 EAST 42ND STREET NEW YORK, NY, 10168	Γ, 18TH FLOOR
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you icer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. Inswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
Stacy Ann Williams-Moore 17 Dawes Avenue Amityville, New York 1170	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)									
was re	ceived by me on (date)	•									
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)								
	<u></u>		on (date)	; or							
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or usu	ual place of abode with (name)								
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	e individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, wh	o is						
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behalf	Of (name of organization)								
		on (date)	; or								
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		;	; or						
	☐ Other (specify):										
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0							
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	true.								
Date:											
Dute.			Server's signature								
			Printed name and title								
			Server's address								

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

PME 1-Day HEMPSTEAD, NY

\$28.35

FOR DOMESTIC AND



1007





CUSTOMER USE ONLY FROM: (PLEASE PRINT) An Williams-Moore SIGNATURE REQUIRED Note: The mailer must check the "Signature Required" box if the mailer: 1) West the addressee's signature; OR 2) Purchases additional insurance; OR 3) Purchases Additional insurance; OR 4) Purchases Additional insurance; OR 4) Purchases Additional insurance; OR 5) Purchases Additional insurance; mail receptacle or other secure location without attempting to obtain the addressee's signature on delivery. No Saturday Delivery (delivered next business day) ☐ Sunday/Holiday Delivery Required (additional fee, where available*) "Refer to USPS.com" or local Post Office" for availability. TO: (PLEASE PRINT) Eastern Dist. of New york central Islip Division 100 Federall For pickup or USPS Tracking™, visit USPS.com or call 800-222-1811. m \$100.00 insurance included. LABEL 11-B, MAY 2021

PAYMENT BY ACCOUNT (if applicable) USPS® Corporate Acct. No. Federal Agency Acct. No. or Postal Service™ Acct. No. ORIGIN (POSTAL SERVICE USE ONLY) 1-Day 2-Day Military □ DPO Scheduled Delivery Date Scheduled Delivery Time Insurance Fee COD Fee Return Receipt Fee Live Animal Transportation Fee Sunday/Holiday Premium Fee Total Postage & Fees 1053 DA ☐ AM □ PM

PSN 7690-02-000-9996

JUN 1 4 2022

LONG ICLAND OF TOE

Pickup.